Preserve

App. F. IX-4

1925-26

KB File No. 160-25

Previous References
KB 160-25-164
KB 2-73-1-87.

(KB)

Serial Nos. 5, 6, 7 & 8

Later References
KB. 88-84. (8Cs 1-9)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for October 1927

SUBJECT

Claim of ~~Narasipur Taluk~~ the Narasimharajpur Taluk for a moiety of the receipts of the ferry plying across the Shimsa between Mavagadde & Muttinakoppa.

G.O.Ms.L. 2011-14 Rj 6/10/27
Directs that the earnings from the ferry should be divided between the Dist Bds of Kadur & Shimsa

7/10/27.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

No LB 4648 of 11/1/27 from the Dist. Dist. Madura —

Requests reconsideration of the orders of Govt. Communicated in this office No L 4748 of 20/7/26 declining to acknowledge the claim of the Narasimharajapuram for a moiety of the receipts of the ferry plying across the river between Mandalaboe & Muthirakoppa.

Flag A

Subs. The contention of the Dist. Madura at first was to claim equal rights with the Shivaganga Dist. Board for the receipts from the ferry. When this was declined on the ground that it was a long established practice dating from the year 1876.

This was not approved

Flag

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

~~Now~~ the Madura Dist. Board Say that both the banks of the river are within their jurisdiction

G.R.S. III—3

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for [illegible] not intended for permanent record.]

[illegible] that they alone are entitled to levy rents—

This aspect of the question will have perhaps to be referred to the Shimoga Distt for an expression of their views in the matter unless it is considered undesirable to interfere with the long standing usage in this respect which however is against the Kadur Distt Bd.

Yes. First Remove.

The papers of the case to Shimoga for the opinion of the Distt Bd who shall also state to Kadur as the alleged that both

Is there any law how this became a Shimoga ferry of both banks & the road are Kadur? Have Govt power to discontinue Shimoga ferry as from next year & free Kadur the rights or divide Equally without further reference? Govt of Distt

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subt

Page 4

From the file put up (LB 2-738/1952) it is seen that Distt Committees were appointed to consider the classification of ferries and the results arrived at by these committees have been approved in fo. No 5286-Genl 108 dt 25/8/36 for the Kadur Distt and in fo No 8407-Genl 163 dt 18/11/36 for the Shimoga Distt. The ferry at Mandagadde has been classed as belonging to the Shimoga Distt & the management of the ~~Hadkudur~~ ferry at Mandagadde is so far conducted by the Shimoga Distt Bd.

T 5) In his letter No LB 3648 dt 27/4/36 the Priest Distt Bd Kadur claimed equal rights with the Shimoga Distt in the matter of the apportionment of this ferry Revenue on the

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III--8

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes ermanent record.

[illegible] the Ex. Engr. reports that the claim [illegible] of this claim [illegible] on the [illegible] and [illegible] boundary [illegible] back it up.

In the letter now under disposal the D.C. Kadur claims that the Tunga river is within the Kadur Distt boundary - this aspect of the question was not put forward before.

On consulting the Connected Topo sheets it is seen that one bank of the river forms the Shimoga Distt boundary.

The other the Kadur Distt boundary

orders of the Chief Comm in this respect can be changed by Govt & it would perhaps be fair to apportion the revenue equally between the two ~~Coorg~~ Distt Bds

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

3184

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

As the views of the Shimoga Dist. Bd were not ascertained I am in the matter this is for consideration whether it is necessary to hear what that Bd has to say on the subject—

If considered necessary, the Chief Engr may perhaps be also consulted as to whether the river belongs to the Kadur Dist as alleged—

Dst
9/4/14 - The Ch Engr and the Dst Bd Shimoga may be consulted. The ferry was apparently managed by the Shimoga Dst because on the Shimoga side of the river there is no Kadur village though even this is doubtful — some land may belong to the Kadur Dst

8)

10523
11/4

First Member

MW
11/4

9) Yes. As per the C.E's remarks above, Bd & author should be addressed

10/4

MW
12/4

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Public Works Secretariat. | 407 File No. 160 of 1925

Serial No.

Industrial No. 142/P.W.D
12th May 27.

4) Returned, with compliments-

2. The topo sheet shows the boundary of the -- Shimoga District on the left bank and that of the Kadur District on the right bank of the river. Th ferry may be held to be common to both and the ---- revenue divided equally between the two District -- Boards if they share the cost of the maintenance -- equally-

Jno 7 Thor
11.5.27
CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSOR

T.R.S.

12) By Dfo consulting Dt Bds.
Jno
14/5

13) Issue with Draft
Ed
14/5

14) Issue
mo
19/5

6 L C 432 of 21/5/27 Asst. D.S. Shimoga Enquires whether the ferry receipts referred to may be decided equally between Kadur & Shimoga Distts

7 No C 629 of 1319/27 from the Asst. D.S. Shimoga stating that the Shimoga Distt

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
Jno
28 MAY 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH
28/5

Note—Contn. sheet.

WD 895—GBP—5000—31-8-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 3018/ of 192

Serial No.

Bd awards in favour of surrendering a moiety of the [redacted] Revenue from the ferry plying across the Thungabhadra River between Nandagadde & Muthinakoppa

15) Subd The Rd Secy to Govt Bd appears to rely on long usage only as a ground for its refusal to [redacted] allow the Kadur Distt Bd to share this ferry Revenue. A Draft in accordance with the Chief Engineer's views is put up for Consideration

Subd 15/9 The question seems to be important enough to be considered in Council

15/9.

Refee para 9 of member's minute above the Chief Engineer's remarks are contained in para 11 and the Shimoga Dt Bd's view in Sl 7 - the President's letter dy 13-9-27. The inconvenience perhaps be shared equally by the two Bds or in some other proportion giving a little more to the Shimoga Bd in consideration of long enjoyment

Mr 19/9

First Member

Dear Sabnis

Draw up the Govt Law Secy's Representation

Lee 20/9

2371/1919

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60.000—24-5-27

R 3.5 L 3018

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. f 192

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
23. SEP. 1927
Reg. No. 9210

For perusal

Dewan
this' Law Secy

[redacted]

MD 24
PR 23/9.
MD 25/9

MD
23-9-27

PR 27/9.

Issue + put up

MD 27/9

8. 2011-14 of 6/10/27 Petn W/s Kadir & Shamsu Chief Engr Rampur - Directs that the earnings from his fees should be divided between his Distt Bds of Kadir & Shamsu -

B
Law
30/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324 -GPB-60,000-24-5-27

40641

L.B. No. 464 for two
24-26

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board.

Dated, Chickmagalur 8th January 1927.

From

H.V. Ramaswami, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,
President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

REV. SECTT. 12 JAN. 1927 G. D. SECTION.

3018 13 JAN. 1927 LOCAL BDS. COM. BRANCH

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. L. 474/L.B. 160-25-4 dated the 20th July 1926 regarding the claim of the Narasimharajapura Taluk Board for a moiety of the receipts of the ferry plying across the Thunga river between Mandagadde in the Thirthalli Taluk and muttinakoppa in the Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk and stating that th contention of the District Board, Kadur is opposed to the long - practice prevailing in the Shimoga and Chitaldrug Districts and as such the proposal cannot be sanctioned, I have the honour to state that the Executive Engineer, Kadur Division, who was consulted in the matter writes in his letter No1 435/dated 3rd November 1926 that the District boundry is beyond the river Thunga at the ferry plying across it, and even the rover belongs to the Kadur District and that the road up to river is maintained by the District Board, it has got a very good claim to the ferry charges.

As advised by the Finance Committee and in view of the Executive Engineer's opinion the matter was placed before the - District Board at their meeting convened on the 6th December 1926 when it was resolved that Government be requested to direct the Shimoga District Board to give away the entire proceeds of the auction of the privilege of plying the ferry.

I therefore request that the orders of Government may

kindly

kindly be reconsidered in the light of the Kadur Division Executive Engineer's opinion and sanction of Government obtained to the recommendation of the District Board in the matter.

A copy of the resolution of the Board and a copy of the Executive Engineer's letter adverted to above in the matter are herewith forwarded for kind information of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For President,

Kadur District Board.

Letter No. 435 dated 3rd November 1926 from the Executive Engineer, Kadur Division, Chickmagalur to the President, Kadur District Board.

With reference to your letter No. L.B. 464/24-25 dated 23-10-1926, I have the honour to inform you that the District boundry is beyond the river Thunga at the ferry, plying across it, between Mandagadde in the Thirthalli Taluk and Muttinakoppa in the Narasimharajapur Sub Taluk and the river belongs to Kadur District. As the road up to the river [illegible] is maintained by the District Board, it has got a very good claim to the ferry charges and the same may be urged on the notice of the Government.

----- "C O P Y"

For President,
Kadur District Board.

N.R.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Kadur District Board meeting convened on the 6th December 1926.

Subject No.24.

Read:- Letter No. 435 dated 3rd November 1926 from the Executive Engineer, Kadur Division furnishing his opinion regarding the right of Narasimharajapura Taluk Board over the ferry plying across the Thunga River between Muttinakoppa and Mandagadde and stating that the District Board has got a very good claim to the ferry charges and that the same may be urged on the notice of Government and the resolution of the Finance Committee thereon.

Resolution:- As both the banks of the river between which the ferry plies are in the Kadur District the Board - request the Government to direct that the entire proceeds of the auction of the privilege of plying the ferry should

be

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, ... *192* ....

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B.A., B. L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
President, District Board, Shimoga.

Sir,

The President, District Board, Kadur, has been urging the claims of the Board for a moiety of the receipts of the ferry plying across the Tbunga river between Mandagadde in the Thirthahalli Taluk and Muttinakoppa in the Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk. The Chief Engineer is of opinion that the ferry may be held to be common to both the District Boards of Shimoga and Kadur on the ground that the topo sheet shows the boundary of the Shimoga District on the left bank and that of the Kadur District on the right bank of the river. I am to request you to place the question of the ferry being considered as belonging to both the Boards before your District Board and forward their opinion for the orders of Government early. In case the conset is agreed to by both Boards the income from the ferry as well as the cost of ~~maintenance~~ maintaining it will be shared equally by the two Boards.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

K.M.R.2.
19/5.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD
SHIMOGA

REVENUE
No. 6627 L.B. 26-27
1235 L
5 SEP 1927

Dated the 12th September *19* 27.

From

B. T. KESAVAIENGAR, Esq. B. A.,

President, District Board,

Shimoga.

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

63160-25-7

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 8432/L.B. 160-25-6 dated 21st May 1927 regarding the claim of the Kadur District Board for a moiety of the receipts of the Ferry plying across Tunga River between Mandagadde in Tirthahalli Taluk and Muthinakoppa in Narasimharajapur Sub Taluk, and requesting to place the question of dividing the Ferry receipts equally between the Kadur and the Shimoga District Boards, I have the honour to state that the subject was placed before the Board for consideration at their Meeting held on the 27th August 1927; the question was fully considered in all its aspects by the Board and the resolution passed thereon is herewith enclosed for kind perusal. The Board are not in favour of surrendering a moiety of the receipts of the Ferry to the Kadur District Board and they urge that they have been maintaining the Ferry from the commencement.

2. In this connection, I beg to invite your kind reference to letter No. L. 474/L.B. 160-25-4 dated 20-7-1926 from the Secretary, Local and Legislative Departments to the President, District Board, Kadur on the same subject wherein Government have not been pleased to accede to the request of the Kadur District Board.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board, Shimoga

Proceedings of the Meeting of the District Board, Shimoga held on the 27th and 28th August 1927.

37. Letter No. L. 8432/L.B. 160-25-6 dated 21st May 1927 from the General Secretary to Government of Mysore stating that the President, District Board, Kadur has been urging for the claims of the Board for a moiety of the receipts of the Ferry plying across the Tunga river between Mandagadde in Tirthahalli Taluk and Muthinkoppa in Narasimharajapur Sub Taluk and calling for the views of the Board in the matter.

RESOLUTION:- Since the Board has been maintaining the Ferry from the commencement, they are not prepared to surrender a moiety of the revenue from the ferry to the Kadur District Board. The existing state of things might continue in future also.

Sd. B.T. KESAVAIENGAR,
President,
District Board, Shimoga.

'Extract'

For President,
District Board, Shimoga.

L.B. 160-25-8

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read--

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner in Mysore No.8407/Genl.163 dated the 18th November 1876 classifying the Ferries in the Shimoga and Chitaldrug Districts.

2. Correspondence ending with Letter No.L.474/L.B.160-25-4 dated 20th July 1926 to the President District Board, Kadur, stating that the claim of the Kadur District Board for a share of the revenue from the Ferry plying across the Thunga river between Mandagadde in the Thirthahalli Taluk and Muthinakoppa in the Narasimharajapura Taluk cannot be entertained being opposed to long standing practice.

3. Letter No. L.B.464 dated 11th January 1927, from the President District Board, Kadur urging a reconsideration of the above orders as the District Boundary is beyond the River Thunga at the Ferry plying across it and the road up to the river is maintained by the Kadur District Board.

4. Letter No.C.627/L.B.26-27 dated 13t September 1927 from the President District Board, Shimoga objecting to share the Ferry Revenue with the Kadur District Board

---

O R D E R No. L 2611-8/14

The Chief Engineer who was consulted in the matter is of opinion that the Ferry in question should be held to be common to

Extra

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:-

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner in Mysore No.8407/Genl. 163 dated, the 13th November 1876 classifying the Ferries in the Shimoga and Chitaldrug Districts.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.L.474/L.B.160-25-4 dated, the 20th July 1926 to the President, District Board, Kadur, stating that the claim of the Kadur District Board for a share of the revenue from the Ferry plying across the Thunga river between Nandagadde in the Thirthahalli Taluk and Muthinakoppa in the Narasimharajapura Taluk cannot be entertained being opposed to long standing practice.

3. Letter No.L.B.464 dated, the 11th January 1927, from the President, District Board, Kadur urging a reconsideration of the above orders as the District Boundary is beyond the River Thunga at the Ferry plying across it and the road up to the river is maintained by the Kadur District Board.

4. Letter No.C.627/L.B.26-27 dated, the 13th September 1927 from the President, District Board, Shimoga, objecting to share the Ferry Revenue with the Kadur District Board.

ORDER NO.L. 2011-14/LB 160-25-8

Dated, Bangalore, the 6th October 1927.

The Chief Engineer who was consulted in the matter is of opinion that the Ferry in question should be held to be common to both the Shimoga and Kadur Districts and that the revenue should be divided equally between the two District Boards if they share the cost of maintenance equally. Agreeing with this view Government are pleased to direct that this arrangement be given effect to with effect from the next Official year. Tenders may be called for and disposed of by the Shimoga District Board which will exercise admistrative control and pay to the Kadur District Board by cheque one half of the nett earnings.

M Venkatesaiyngar

for General Secretary to Government.

To

The President, District Board, Kadur.
The President, District Board, Shimoga.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

K.H.R.5.
28/9.